arXiv:1603.06813v1 [math.AG] 22 Mar 2016

An Approximation of Local Antiderivatives of Relative Differential on Arithmetic Surface

Yuhan Zha
Institute of Mathematics
Chinese Academy of Sciences
yhzha1@sina.com

March 23, 2016

Abstract

Let ω be a relative differential form on an arithmetic surface X. We construct a family of rational functions G_x on $X \otimes \mathbb{C}$, which can approximate local antiderivatives of ω over an open set on $X \otimes \mathbb{C}$. From this family of rational functions, we construct a rational function G_2 on X. The function G_2 can generate an element in the ring of integers of a number field, which can approximate an inner product produced by ω and $\overline{\omega}$ over an open set on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. This will give a relation between the height of a rational curve E_P on X and the norm of the relative differential form ω, which will give an upper bound for the height of the rational curve E_P under a few assumptions.

1 Introduction

Let R be the ring of integers of a number field F, and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}R$. Let X be a stable family of curves of genus $g > 1$ over Y. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. Let E_P be a curve on X that is rational over Y. Let $\omega_{X/Y}$ be the canonical dualizing sheaf on X endowed with the canonical Hermitian metric [L1]. Let η be the canonical section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(E_P)$ vanishing along E_P on X. Let S be the set of all the complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let ω be a relative differential on X, such that there exists sections u_j of $\mathcal{O}_X(m_2E_P)$ on X and sections e_j of $\mathcal{O}_X(m_3E_P)$ on X satisfying

$$\sum_{j\in I_1} u_j \cdot e_j = 0 \tag{1}$$

and $\omega = \sum_{j\in I_1} \frac{u_j}{\eta^{m_2}} \cdot d\frac{e_j}{\eta^{m_3}}$ on X, where m_2, m_3 are positive integers. Let n_1 be an integer satisfying $n_1 > 9g^2$. Let $n_2 = 2n_1$. Let $\{\varrho_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of all the n_1 complex numbers satisfying $\varrho_i^{n_1} = 1$. Let $n_2 = 2n_1$. For $i = 1, 2$, let ξ_i be a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(n_iE_P)$ on X, that satisfy the following:

(i)
$$\log \|\xi_i\| \leq \log \|\xi_i\|_{h_1,\sigma} + O(1) \tag{2}$$
for all the complex embedding $\sigma \in S$ and $i = 1, 2$, where $\|\xi_i\|$ denotes the norm of ξ_i under the canonical Hermitian metric [L1] on $R^0\pi_*(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n_iE_P))$, and $\|\xi_i\|_{h_1,\sigma}$ denotes the norm of ξ_i under the canonical Hermitian metric [L1] on the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_X(n_iE_P)$ to $E_P \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C}$, and the constant implicit in $O(1)$ is determined by n_i and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(ii) For complex embedding $\sigma \in S$, let $\{x_{j,\sigma} : j \in I_{2,\sigma}\}$ be the set of all the points on X_σ, where $\xi_1 = 0$. For all $j \in I_{2,\sigma}$, there exists a simple connected open set $U_{j,\sigma}$ on X_σ containing $x_{j,\sigma}$, such that
$$d\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}} \neq 0 \tag{3}$$
on $U_{j,\sigma}$, and $U_{i,\sigma} \cap U_{j,\sigma}$ is empty for all $i, j \in I_{2,\sigma}$ satisfying $i \neq j$, and
$$\left|\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}}\right| \geq a_1 \cdot \|\xi_1\| \tag{4}$$
over $X_\sigma \setminus \bigcup_{j\in I_{2,\sigma}} U_{j,\sigma}$, where a_1 is a positive number determined by $n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(iii) We have $\|\xi_1\| > \frac{8}{a_1}$. For all $\sigma \in S$, we have the following:
For all $j \in I_{2,\sigma}$, there exists $i_j \in I$, such that
$$\left|\frac{\|\xi_2\| \cdot \eta^{n_2}}{\xi_2}(x) - \varrho_{i_j}\right| < \frac{a_2}{\|\xi_1\|} \tag{5}$$

for all $x \in U_{j,\sigma}$ *satisfying* $\left|\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}}(x)\right| < 2$, *where* a_2 *is a positive number determined by* $n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$. *And for all* $j_1, j_2 \in I_{2,\sigma}$ *satisfying* $j_1 \neq j_2$, *we have* $\varrho_{i_{j_1}} \neq \varrho_{i_{j_2}}$.

(iv) For $i = 1, 2$, let C_i be the divisor determined by $\xi_i = 0$ as a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(n_i E_P)$ on E_P. For convenience, we denote the natural push forward cycle of C_i on Y still by C_i. Then ξ_i is a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(n_i E_P - C_i)$ on X for $i = 1, 2$.

Under these assumptions, we construct a family of rational functions G_x on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, that can approximate local antiderivatives of ω over an open set on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. And from this family of functions, we construct a rational function G_2 on X, that can generate an element in R, which can approximate an inner product produced by ω and $\overline{\omega}$ over an open set on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ when the canonical height $\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P$ is large enough. Note when $\|\xi_i\|$ are large enough, we can pick ξ_i such that conditions (i) (ii) (iii) are satisfied. When $\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P$ is sufficiently large, $\|\xi_i\|$ will be sufficiently large and it is possible to pick ξ_i such that (iv) is satisfied. So a theorem under assumptions above can have applications. So for simplicity and to clarify what is new, we will only prove our result under the assumptions (i)-(iv) and assume $C_1 = C_2 = 0$.

Since our construction works for number field case, and does not work for function field case, so we first state a difference between number fields and function fields. Then we use this difference to construct the rational functions G_x and G_2.

The difference happens over projective lines. Let V be a two dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ endowed with a Hermitian metric. Let $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex projective line associated with V. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}(1)$ be the canonical line bundle of degree 1 over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let h be the canonical Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}(1)$ induced from the Hermitian metric on V. Let $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ be a set of different points on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $n > 2$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an element $w_{x_i} \in V$, such that

$$|w_{x_i}(x_i)|_h = 1 \tag{6}$$

and

$$|w_{x_i}(x_j)|_h < 1 \tag{7}$$

for all $j \neq i$ satisfying $1 \leq j \leq n$. Note this fact is not true over function fields of characteristic $p > 0$. Over characteristic $p > 0$, we can find a section

of the canonical line bundle of degree one over the projective line, whose absolute value is < 1 at one point and is equal to 1 at the other $n-1$ points.

Now we use the fact above to construct the functions G_x mentioned above. Let $m > 0$ be a positive integer. Let $V^{\otimes m}$ be the vector space generated by $w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m$ for all $w_i \in V$. Let $S^m(V)$ be the submodule of $V^{\otimes m}$ that is invariant under the action of the symmetric group on m symbols, i.e. invariant under all the permutations of $\{w_1, \cdots, w_m\}$. Let M_m be the $m+1$ dimensional vector space generated by all the sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}(m)$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let's consider the linear map $V^{\otimes 2m} \longrightarrow M_m \otimes M_m$ defined by mapping $w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{2m}$ to $\prod_{i=1}^{m} w_i \otimes \prod_{i=m+1}^{2m} w_i$, where $w_i \in V$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2m$. By restricting the map above to the submodule $S^{2m}(V)$ of $V^{\otimes 2m}$, we have the following map

$$S^{2m}(V) \longrightarrow M_m \otimes M_m \tag{8}$$

Since the natural map $S^{2m}(V) \longrightarrow M_{2m}$ is an isomorphism, so (8) determines the following homomorphism

$$f_5 : M_{2m} \longrightarrow M_m \otimes M_m \tag{9}$$

Let $\{v_0, v_1\}$ be a set of basis of V over $\mathbb{C}$. For $i \in I$, let x_i be the point on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that

$$\frac{v_1}{v_0}(x_i) = \varrho_i \tag{10}$$

For $i \in I$ and $r > 0$, let $U_{x_i}(r)$ be the open set determined by $\left|\frac{v_1}{v_0} - \varrho_i\right| < r$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. By the definition of f_5, we have

$$f_5\left(v_0^m v_1^m\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot v_0^l v_1^{m-l} \otimes v_0^{m-l} v_1^l \tag{11}$$

where $b_{m,l} \in \mathbb{Q}$. For point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, consider the function

$$f_{4,x}\left(v_0, v_1, m\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \frac{v_1^{-l}}{v_0^{-l}}(x) \cdot \frac{v_1^l}{v_0^l} \tag{12}$$

over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there exists $r_1, \rho_1 \in (0,1)$ determined by $\{\varrho_i : i \in I\}$, such that

$$\left|f_{4,x}\left(v_0, v_1, m\right)\left(x'\right)\right| < \rho_1^m \tag{13}$$

for all $m > 0$ and all $x \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$ and $x' \in U_{x_j}(r_1)$, where $i, j \in I$ satisfying $i \neq j$. And we have

$$|f_{4,x}(v_0, v_1, m)(x') - 1| \leq 2m \cdot \left| \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x') - \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x) \right| \tag{14}$$

for all $i \in I$ and $x, x' \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$ satisfying $\left| \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x') - \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x) \right| < \frac{1}{3m}$.

Let z be an analytic function on $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$, such that $dz(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$ satisfying $|z(x)| < r_0$, where r_0 is a positive number. Let $U(r_0)$ be the open subset in $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$ determined by $|z(x)| < r_0$, for all $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$. Let φ_2 be the map from $U(r_0)$ to the complex plane defined by z. Let U_0 be the open disc on the complex plane defined by $|z| < r_0$. Assume there exists a unique point $x \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$, such that $z(x) = \alpha$ for all $i \in I$ and $\alpha \in U_0$. Let $\{u'_j, e'_j : j \in I_1\}$ be a set of analytic functions on $U(r_0)$, such that

$$\sum_{j \in I_1} u'_j \cdot e'_j = 0 \tag{15}$$

Let $\omega' = \sum_{j \in I_1} u'_j \cdot de'_j$. Assume $|u'_j|$, $|e'_j|$, $\left|\partial e'_j / \partial z\right|$, $\left|\partial \frac{v_1}{v_0} / \partial z\right|$ are less than $B' \cdot \left|\frac{\omega'}{dz}\right|$ over $U(r_0)$ for all $j \in I_1$, where $B' > 1$.

For $x \in U(r_0)$, let G_x be the function on U_0 defined by the following:

$$G_x = \sum_{j \in I_1} \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \frac{v_1^{-l}}{v_0^{-l}}(x) \cdot u'_j(x) \cdot \frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z} \mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2} \left(\frac{v_1^l}{v_0^l} \cdot e'_j \right) \tag{16}$$

Then by (13) (14), there exists $\rho_2 \in (0, \rho_1)$, and $a_3, a_4 > 1$ which are determined by ρ_2, n_1, B', ω', such that

$$\left| G_x(x') - \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \cdot z(x') \right| \leq \left(a_4 m \cdot |z(x')|^2 + n_1 \cdot \rho_2^m \cdot |z(x')| \right) \cdot \left| \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \right| \tag{17}$$

for all $x \in U(r_0)$ and $m > a_3$ and $x' \in U_0$ satisfying

$$|z(x') - z(x)| < \frac{1}{3m} \tag{18}$$

So G_x can approximate an antiderivative of ω' locally around point x, when m is sufficiently large. Note this fact is implied by the existence of w_{x_i} discussed in the paragraph (6)-(7). Next we study the algebraic and geometric implications of G_x over X.

Let ω be the relative differential on X defined before. Let

$$s_\omega = \sum_{i \in I_1} \frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}} \otimes \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}} \tag{19}$$

where u_i, e_i are defined before. Let ξ_1, ξ_2 be the sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(n_1 E_P), \mathcal{O}_X(n_2 E_P)$ that satisfy the properties (i)-(iv), where C_1 and C_2 are assumed to be zero. Let $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$ be the projective line over Y.

Let

$$z = \frac{\xi_1}{\|\xi_1\| \cdot \eta^{n_1}} \tag{20}$$

$$\tau = \frac{\|\xi_2\| \cdot \eta^{n_2}}{\xi_2} \tag{21}$$

on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $C_1 = 0$, so ξ_1 does not vanish at any point on E_P, hence there exists a morphism

$$\varphi_2 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_Y \tag{22}$$

defined by $[\xi_1 : \eta^{n_1}]$. Let ψ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$ such that

$$\psi^* \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}} \right) = \frac{\eta^{n_1}}{\xi_1} \tag{23}$$

Let G_2 be the rational function on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by

$$G_2(s_\omega, \tau, z) = \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \tau^{-l} \cdot \frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}} \cdot \varphi_2^* \left(\psi^* \left(\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z} \mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2} \left(\tau^l \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}} \right) \right) \right) \tag{24}$$

For complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let Γ_σ be the path determined by $\left| \frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}} \right| = 1$ on X_σ. Then there exists an element in R that is equal to the following:

$$\frac{(2m)!}{m! \cdot m!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\sigma} \omega \cdot G_2 \tag{25}$$

under the complex embedding σ for all $\sigma \in S$.

The reason is the following: Let D_i be the divisor determined by $\xi_i = 0$ as a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(n_i E_P)$ on X for $i = 1, 2$. Let D_3 be the image of D_2 in $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$ under map φ_2. Since $C_2 = 0$, so D_2 does not intersect with E_P, therefore

D_3 does not intersect with the image of E_P in $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$ under map φ_2. So there exists a polynomial

$$q = \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} c_j \cdot \frac{\xi_1^j}{\eta^{jn_1}} \tag{26}$$

that vanishes along D_3, where $c_j \in R$ and c_{n_2} is a unit in R. So we have

$$\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\frac{\eta^{n_2 l}}{\xi_2^l} \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right) = q^{-l} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=k_{i,l,1}}^{k_{i,l,2}} c_{i,l,j} \cdot \frac{\xi_1^j}{\eta^{jn_1}}\right) \tag{27}$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq m$ and $i \in I_1$, where $k_{i,l,1}, k_{i,l,2}$ are integers and $c_{i,l,j} \in R$. So

$$\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\frac{\eta^{n_2 l}}{\xi_2^l} \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right) = q^{-l-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=k'_{i,l,1}}^{k'_{i,l,2}} c'_{i,l,j} \cdot \frac{\xi_1^j}{\eta^{jn_1}}\right) \tag{28}$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq m$, where $k'_{i,l,1}, k'_{i,l,2}$ are integers and $c'_{i,l,j} \in R$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi^*\left(\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\frac{\eta^{n_2 l}}{\xi_2^l} \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right)\right) = \\ &\frac{\sum_{j=k'_{i,l,1}}^{k'_{i,l,2}} c'_{i,l,j} \cdot \frac{\eta^{jn_1}}{\xi_1^j}}{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_2} c_j \cdot \frac{\eta^{jn_1}}{\xi_1^j}\right)^{l+1}} = \frac{\sum_{j=k'_{i,l,1}}^{k'_{i,l,2}} c'_{i,l,j} \cdot \frac{\eta^{(j-n_2 l-n_2)n_1}}{\xi_1^{j-n_2 l-n_2}}}{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_2} c_j \cdot \frac{\xi_1^{n_2-j}}{\eta^{(n_2-j)n_1}}\right)^{l+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since c_{n_2} is a unit in R, so the divisor determined by $\sum_{j=0}^{n_2} c_j \cdot \frac{\xi_1^{n_2-j}}{\eta^{(n_2-j)n_1}}$ on X does not intersect with D_1. Moreover E_P does not intersect with D_1 on X, so the residue

$$\mathrm{Res}_{D_1/Y}\left(\omega \cdot \sum_{i \in I_1} \frac{\xi_2^l}{\eta^{n_2 l}} \cdot \frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}} \cdot \varphi_2^*\left(\psi^*\left(\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\frac{\eta^{n_2 l}}{\xi_2^l} \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{30}$$

is an element in R for all $0 \leq l \leq m$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\sigma} \omega \cdot \sum_{i \in I_1} \tau^{-l} \cdot \frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}} \cdot \varphi_2^*\left(\psi^*\left(\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\tau^l \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}_{D_1/Y}\left(\omega \cdot \sum_{i \in I_1} \frac{\xi_2^l}{\eta^{n_2 l}} \cdot \frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}} \cdot \varphi_2^*\left(\psi^*\left(\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\frac{\eta^{n_2 l}}{\xi_2^l} \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right)\right)\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

under σ. By (31) and $\frac{(2m)!}{m!\cdot m!}\cdot b_{m,l}$ is an integer for all $0\leq l\leq m$, so there exists an element in R that is equal to (25) under the complex embedding σ.

Let $I_{2,\sigma}, U_{i,\sigma}$ be the elements defined in condition (ii). Assume $B\cdot\left|\frac{\omega}{dz}\right|$ is greater than $\left|\frac{u_j}{\eta^{m_2}}\right|$, $\left|\frac{e_j}{\eta^{m_3}}\right|$, $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{e_j}{\eta^{m_3}}\right|$ and $\left|\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial z}\right|$ on $U_{i,\sigma}$ for all $i\in I_{2,\sigma}$ and $j\in I_1$, where $B>1$. For $x\in U_{i,\sigma}$, let $G_x(s_\omega,\tau,z)$ be the rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1_Y\otimes_\sigma\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$G_x(s_\omega,\tau,z)=\sum_{i\in I_1}\sum_{l=0}^{m}b_{m,l}\cdot\tau(x)^{-l}\cdot\frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}}(x)\cdot\frac{z\cdot\partial}{\partial z}\mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\tau^l\cdot\frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right)\quad(32)$$

Then by (17) and condition (iii) satisfied by ξ_2, there exists $\rho_2\in(0,\rho_1)$, and positive numbers a_5,a_6 determined by $\rho_2,B,n_1,X_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that

$$\left|G_x(s_\omega,\tau,z)(x')-\frac{\omega}{dz}(x)\cdot z(x')\right|\leq\left(a_5m\cdot|z(x')|^2+n_1\cdot\rho_2^m\cdot|z(x')|\right)\cdot\left|\frac{\omega}{dz}(x)\right|\quad(33)$$

for all $m>a_6$ and $x'\in\mathbb{P}^1_Y\otimes_\sigma\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|z(x')-z(x)|<\frac{3}{\|\xi_1\|}$, when $\left|\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}}(x)\right|<2$ and $\|\xi_1\|$ is large enough.

Assume

$$\frac{\omega}{dz}(x_{i,\sigma})=\beta_{i,\sigma}\quad(34)$$

for $i\in I_{2,\sigma}$, where $\beta_{i,\sigma}\in\mathbb{C}$. For $i\in I_{2,\sigma}$, let $\Gamma_{\sigma,i}$ be the path on $U_{i,\sigma}$ determined by $\left|\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}}\right|=1$. For $x\in\Gamma_{\sigma,i}$, by (33), $\varphi_2^*(G_x)$ is approximately equal to $\beta_{i,\sigma}\cdot z$ on $\Gamma_{\sigma,i}$, when $\|\xi_1\|$ and m are sufficiently large. Since we have

$$G_2(x)=\varphi_2^*(\psi^*(G_x))(x)\quad(35)$$

so G_2 is approximately equal to $\beta_{i,\sigma}\cdot\overline{z}$ on $\Gamma_{\sigma,i}$, i.e. dG_2 is approximately equal to $\beta_{i,\sigma}\cdot\overline{\beta_{i,\sigma}^{-1}}\cdot\overline{\omega}$ on $\Gamma_{\sigma,i}$, when $\|\xi_1\|$ and m are sufficiently large. So we will have $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\cdot\int_{\Gamma_{\sigma,i}}\omega\cdot G_2$ is approximately equal to $\frac{\beta_{i,\sigma}^2}{\|\xi_1\|^2}$, when $\|\xi_1\|$ and m are sufficiently large. Since there exists an element in R that is equal to (25) under complex embedding σ, so we have

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S}\log\left|2\sum_{i\in I_{2,\sigma}}\beta_{i,\sigma}^2\right|>[F:\mathbb{Q}]\cdot\left(\log\frac{m!\cdot m!}{(2m)!}+\log\|\xi_1\|^2\right)\quad(36)$$

when $\sum_{i\in I_{2,\sigma}}\beta_{i,\sigma}^2$ is nonzero and $\|\xi_1\|,m$ are sufficiently large. From this we will have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *Assume*

$$\left|\sum_{i\in I_{2,\sigma}} \beta_{i,\sigma}^2\right| > a_9 \cdot \|\omega\|^2 \tag{37}$$

for all $\sigma \in S$, where a_9 is a positive number and $\|\omega\|$ denotes the canonical norm [L1] of ω. Then there exists $a_7, a_8 > 1$ determined by $a_9, B, n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that

$$\log \|\xi_1\| < a_7 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log \frac{2\sum_{\sigma\in S}\left|\sum_{i\in I_{2,\sigma}} \beta_{i,\sigma}^2\right|}{[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \tag{38}$$

when $\|\xi_1\| > a_8$.

The detail of the proof of **Theorem 1.1** is written in the last three pages of this paper. Note when $\|\omega\|$ is large enough, B can be chosen to be a constant determined by $n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$. And when $\|\xi_1\|, \|\omega\|$ are large enough, ξ_1 and ω can be chosen such that the conditions required by **Theorem 1.1** are satisfied. Note

$$\frac{\sum_{\sigma\in S}\left|\sum_{i\in I_{2,\sigma}} \beta_{i,\sigma}^2\right|}{[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \leq \|\omega\|^2 \cdot O(1) \tag{39}$$

And when $C_1 = 0$, we have

$$\log \|\xi_1\| = \frac{n_1}{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P + O(1) \tag{40}$$

So (38) will imply an upper bound for $\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P$ determined by $n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$, when n_1 is large enough under the conditions (i)-(iv), where C_1, C_2 are assumed to be zero.

2 The Proof

Firstly we want to prove function G_x constructed in the introduction has the property (17).

Lemma 2.1 *Let $V, v_0, v_1, \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, M_m, f_5, b_{m,l}, n_1, \{\varrho_i : i \in I\}, x_i, U_{x_i}(r), \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the elements defined in the introduction. Let m be a positive integer. For $x \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $f_{4,x}(v_0, v_1, m)$ be the rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by the following:*

$$f_{4,x}(v_0, v_1, m) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \frac{v_1^{-l}}{v_0^{-l}}(x) \cdot \frac{v_1^l}{v_0^l} \tag{41}$$

Then there exists $r_1, \rho_1 \in (0,1)$ that satisfies the following:

(i) *For all $i, j \in I$ satisfying $i \neq j$, and for all $x \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$ and $x' \in U_{x_j}(r_1)$, we have*

$$|f_{4,x}(v_0, v_1, m)(x')| < \rho_1^m \tag{42}$$

for all $m > 0$.

(ii) *For all $i \in I$ and $x', x \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$ and for all $m > 0$, we have*

$$|f_{4,x}(v_0, v_1, m)(x') - 1| \leq 2m \cdot \left| \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x') - \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x) \right| \tag{43}$$

when $\left| \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x') - \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x) \right| < \frac{1}{3m}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a closed point. Assume

$$\frac{v_1}{v_0}(x) = \varrho \tag{44}$$

where $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $v_{x,1}$ and $v_{x,0}$ be the elements in V, such that

$$v_{x,1} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot (v_1 - \varrho \cdot v_0) \tag{45}$$

$$v_{x,0} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot (\overline{\varrho} \cdot v_1 + v_0) \tag{46}$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. By (45) (46), we have

$$v_0 = \frac{1}{\left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot (v_{x,0} - \overline{\varrho} \cdot v_{x,1}) \tag{47}$$

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\left(1+|\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot (\varrho \cdot v_{x,0} + v_{x,1}) \tag{48}$$

Note

$$\left|\frac{v_{x,0}}{v_0}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{v_{x,1}}{v_0}\right|^2 = \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2 + 1 \tag{49}$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. So for $l = 0, 1$ and $x' \in U_{x_j}(r_1)$, we have

$$\left|\frac{v_{x,l}}{v_0}(x')\right| \leq \left(1 + \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}(x')\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{50}$$

Note for $0 \leq l \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_5\left(\frac{(2m)!}{(2m-l)! \cdot l!} \cdot v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^l\right) = \\ & \sum_{l_1=0}^{l} \frac{m!}{(m-l_1)! \cdot l_1!} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-l+l_1)! \cdot (l-l_1)!} \cdot \\ & v_{x,0}^{m-l+l_1} v_{x,1}^{l-l_1} \otimes v_{x,0}^{m-l_1} v_{x,1}^{l_1} \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

And for $m < l \leq 2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_5\left(\frac{(2m)!}{(2m-l)! \cdot l!} \cdot v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^l\right) = \\ & \sum_{l_1=l}^{2m} \frac{m!}{(2m-l_1)! \cdot (l_1-m)!} \cdot \frac{m!}{(l_1-l)! \cdot (m+l-l_1)!} \cdot \\ & v_{x,0}^{2m-l_1} v_{x,1}^{l_1-m} \otimes v_{x,0}^{l_1-l} v_{x,1}^{m+l-l_1} \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Let $f_{3,x}$ be the linear map from M_{2m} to the vector space of rational functions on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by f_5 followed by the map from $M_m \otimes M_m$ to rational functions on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ that maps $t_1 \otimes t_2$ to $\frac{t_1}{v_0^m}(x) \cdot \frac{t_2}{v_0^m}$. Since $v_{x,1}(x) = 0$ and $\frac{v_{x,0}}{v_0}(x) = (1+|\varrho|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so by (51) (52), we have

$$f_{3,x}\left(v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^l\right) = \frac{(2m-l)! \cdot l!}{(2m)!} \cdot \left(1+|\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-l)! \cdot l!} \cdot \frac{v_{x,0}^{m-l} v_{x,1}^l}{v_0^m} \tag{53}$$

when $0 \leq l \leq m$, and $f_{3,x}\left(v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^{l}\right)$ is equal to 0 when $l > m$. Note

$$\frac{(2m-l)! \cdot l!}{(2m)!} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-l)! \cdot l!} \leq 2^{-l} \tag{54}$$

for $0 \leq l \leq m$, and

$$\frac{(2m-l)! \cdot l!}{(2m)!} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-l)! \cdot l!} \leq 2^{-\frac{m}{2}} \cdot 3^{-l+\frac{m}{2}} = \frac{3^{\frac{m}{2}}}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}} \cdot 3^{-l} \tag{55}$$

for $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1 \leq l \leq m$.

Let r_1 be a positive number. Assume x is a point in $U_{x_i}(r_1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^m v_1^m &= \left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{-m} \cdot \left(v_{x,0} - \overline{\varrho} \cdot v_{x,1}\right)^m \cdot \left(\varrho \cdot v_{x,0} + v_{x,1}\right)^m \\ &= \left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{-m} \cdot \left(\varrho \cdot v_{x,0}^2 + \left(1 - |\varrho|^2\right) \cdot v_{x,0} v_{x,1} - \overline{\varrho} \cdot v_{x,1}^2\right)^m \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Let

$$\lambda_1 = \left|\frac{v_{x,1}}{v_{x,0}}\right| \tag{57}$$

Consider the subset determined by $\lambda_1 \leq 2$ over $U_{x_j}(r_1)$, where $j \neq i$. By (53) (54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|f_{3,x}(v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^{l})\right| &\leq 2^{-l} \cdot \left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \left|\frac{v_{x,0}^{m-l} v_{x,1}^{l}}{v_0^m}\right| \\ &\leq 2^{-l} \cdot \left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \left|\frac{v_{x,0}^m}{v_0^m}\right| \cdot \lambda_1^l \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

By (49), we have

$$\left|\frac{v_{x,0}}{v_0}\right|^2 \cdot \left(1 + \lambda_1^2\right) = \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2 + 1 \tag{59}$$

By $\lambda_1 \leq 2$, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{4}\right)^2 < 1 + \lambda_1^2 \tag{60}$$

By $j \neq i$, we have $\lambda_1 > 0$. So when $r_1 > 0$ is small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{v_{x,0}^m}{v_0^m}\right| \cdot \left(|\varrho| + \frac{\left|1-|\varrho|^2\right| \cdot \lambda_1}{2} + \frac{|\varrho| \cdot \lambda_1^2}{4}\right)^m \\
< \;& |\varrho|^m \cdot \left(1+\lambda_1^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \left|\frac{v_{x,0}^m}{v_0^m}\right| \cdot \rho_0^m \\
= \;& |\varrho|^m \cdot \left(\left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \rho_0^m
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

where $\rho_0 \in (0,1)$. So when we take r_1 small enough, such that

$$\left(1+(1-r_1)^2\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(1+(1+r_1)^2\right) \cdot \rho_0^2 < 1 \tag{62}$$

By (56) (58) (61) (62), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_{3,x}(v_0^m v_1^m)| \leq \;& \left(1+|\varrho|^2\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \left|\frac{v_{x,0}^m}{v_0^m}\right| \\
& \cdot \left(|\varrho| + \frac{\left|1-|\varrho|^2\right| \cdot \lambda_1}{2} + \frac{|\varrho| \cdot \lambda_1^2}{4}\right)^m \\
< \;& \left(1+|\varrho|^2\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \cdot |\varrho|^m \cdot \left(\left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2 + 1\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \rho_0^m \\
< \;& \rho_6^m \cdot |\varrho|^m
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

over $U_{x_j}(r_1)$, where $j \neq i$ and $\rho_6 \in (0,1)$.

Now consider the subset determined by $\lambda_1 \geq 2$ over $U_{x_j}(r_1)$, where $j \neq i$. By (49), we have

$$\left|\frac{v_{x,1}}{v_0}\right|^2 \cdot \left(1+\lambda_1^{-2}\right) = 1 + \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2 \tag{64}$$

So we have

$$\left|\frac{v_{x,1}}{v_0}\right|^2 \geq \frac{4}{5} \cdot \left(1 + \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2\right) \tag{65}$$

Therefore over $U_{x_j}(r_1)$, by (49), we have

$$\left|\frac{v_{x,0}}{v_0}\right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left(1 + \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|^2\right) < \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left(1+(1+r_1)^2\right) \tag{66}$$

Assume

$$v_0^m v_1^m = \sum_{i=0}^{2m} b_{m,i,x} \cdot v_{x,0}^{2m-i} v_{x,1}^i \tag{67}$$

where $b_{m,i,x} \in \mathbb{C}$. By (53) (55) (66) (50), over $U_{x_j}(r_1)$ for $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1 \leq l \leq 2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f_{3,x} \left(v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^l \right) \right| < \\ & \quad \frac{3^{\frac{m}{2}}}{2^{\frac{m}{2}}} \cdot 3^{-l} \cdot (1 + (1+r_1)^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{5^{\frac{m-l}{2}}} \cdot (1 + (1+r_1)^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ = & \quad \frac{3^{\frac{m}{2}}}{10^{\frac{m}{2}}} \cdot (1 + (1+r_1)^2)^m \cdot \left(\frac{5}{9} \right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

By (67) (68) (56), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1}^{2m} \left| b_{m,i,x} \cdot f_{3,x} \left(v_{x,0}^{2m-i} v_{x,1}^i \right) \right| < \\ & \quad \frac{\left(1 + (1+r_1)^2\right)^m}{\left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^m} \cdot \frac{3^{\frac{m}{2}}}{10^{\frac{m}{2}}} \cdot \left(|\varrho| + \frac{5^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |1 - |\varrho|^2|}{3} + \frac{5 \cdot |\varrho|}{9} \right)^m \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

When $r_1 \longrightarrow 0^+$, we have $|\varrho| \longrightarrow 1$. So (69) implies

$$\sum_{i=\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1}^{2m} \left| b_{m,i,x} \cdot f_{3,x} \left(v_{x,0}^{2m-i} v_{x,1}^i \right) \right| < |\varrho|^m \cdot \rho_4^m \tag{70}$$

where $\rho_4 \in (0,1)$, when $r_1 > 0$ is small enough. By (66) (50) (53) (54), over $U_{x_j}(r_1)$ for $0 \leq l \leq \left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$, we have

$$\left| f_{3,x} \left(v_{x,0}^{2m-l} v_{x,1}^l \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{5^{\frac{m}{4}}} \cdot 2^{-l} \cdot (1 + (1+r_1)^2)^m \tag{71}$$

By (71) (56), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \left| b_{m,i,x} \cdot f_{4,x} \left(v_{x,0}^{2m-i} v_{x,1}^i \right) \right| < \\ & \quad \frac{\left(1 + (1+r_1)^2\right)^m}{\left(1 + |\varrho|^2\right)^m} \cdot \frac{1}{5^{\frac{m}{4}}} \cdot \left(|\varrho| + \frac{|1 - |\varrho|^2|}{2} + \frac{|\varrho|}{4} \right)^m \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

When $r_1 \longrightarrow 0^+$, we have $|\varrho| \longrightarrow 1$. So (72) implies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \left| b_{m,i,x} \cdot f_{3,x}\left(v_{x,0}^{2m-i} v_{x,1}^{i}\right)\right| < |\varrho|^m \cdot \rho_5^m \tag{73}$$

where $\rho_5 \in (0,1)$, when $r_1 > 0$ is small enough. Then (63) (70) (73) imply (i) is true.

Over $U_{x_i}(r_1)$, by (56), we have

$$f_{3,x}(v_0^m v_1^m) = \frac{\varrho^m \cdot f_{3,x}(v_{x,0}^{2m})}{\left(1+|\varrho|^2\right)^m} + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} b'_{i,2} \cdot f_{3,x}(v_{x,0}^{2m-i} v_{x,1}^{i}) = \varrho^m \cdot (1+u) \tag{74}$$

where $b'_{i,2} \in \mathbb{C}$ and u is an analytic function on $U_{x_i}(r_1)$. By (56) and (74), we can see u satisfies $|u(x')| \leq 2m \cdot \left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}(x') - \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x)\right|$ for all $x', x \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$ satisfying $\left|\frac{v_1}{v_0}(x') - \frac{v_1}{v_0}(x)\right| < \frac{1}{3m}$ when r_1 is small enough. So (ii) is true. $\square$

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\{x_i : i \in I\}, U_{x_i}(r), r_1, \rho_1, b_{m,l}, v_0, v_1$ be the elements in* **Lemma 2.1**. *Let $r_0 > 0$ be a constant. Let z be an analytic function on $\bigcup_{i\in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ that satisfies the following:*

1. *$dz(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{i\in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$ satisfying $|z(x)| < r_0$.*
2. *For all $i \in I$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\alpha| < r_0$, there exists a unique point $x \in U_{x_i}(r_1)$, such that $z(x) = \alpha$.*

Let $\{u'_i, e'_i : i \in I_1\}$ be a set of analytic functions on $\bigcup_{i\in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i\in I_1} u'_i \cdot e'_i = 0 \tag{75}$$

Let

$$\omega' = \sum_{i\in I_1} u'_i \cdot de'_i \tag{76}$$

Let $U(r_0) \subset \bigcup_{i\in I} U_{x_i}(r_1)$ be the subset determined by $|z| < r_0$. Assume there exists $B' > 1$, such that $B' \cdot \left|\frac{\omega'}{dz}\right|$ is greater than $|u'_i|$, $|e'_i|$, $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|$ and $\left|\frac{\partial e'_i}{\partial z}\right|$ for all $i \in I_1$ on $U(r_0)$. Let φ_2 be the map from $U(r_0)$ to the complex plane defined

by z. Let U_0 be the image of $U(r_0)$ in the complex plane. For $x \in U(r_0)$, let G_x be the analytic function on U_0 defined by

$$G_x = \sum_{j \in I_1} \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \frac{v_1^{-l}}{v_0^{-l}}(x) \cdot u'_j(x) \cdot \frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z} \mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2} \left(\frac{v_1^l}{v_0^l} \cdot e'_j \right) \tag{77}$$

Let ρ_2 be a number in $(0, \rho_1)$. Then there exists $a_5, a_6 > 0$ determined by ρ_2, n_1, B', ω', such that

$$\left| G_x(x') - \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \cdot z(x') \right| \leq \left(a_5 m \cdot |z(x')|^2 + n_1 \cdot \rho_2^m \cdot |z(x')| \right) \cdot \left| \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \right| \tag{78}$$

for all $m > a_6$ and all $x' \in U_0$ satisfying $|z(x') - z(x)| < \frac{1}{3m}$.

Proof. Let V' be the vector space generated by e'_i over $\mathbb{C}$, where $i \in I_1$. Let h' be the Hermitian metric on V', defined by

$$\left\langle e'_i, e'_j \right\rangle_{h'} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \cdot \int_{U(r_0)} e'_i \cdot \overline{e'_j} \cdot dz \wedge d\overline{z} \tag{79}$$

For $x \in U(r_0)$, let $\{e_{x,0}, e_{x,1}, \cdots, e_{x,n_3}\}$ be a set of basis of V' over $\mathbb{C}$, that satisfies the following:

1. $e_{x,0}$ is orthogonal to the subspace of V' generated by the elements that vanish at point x under the Hermitian metric h'.
2. $e_{x,1}(x) = 0$, and $de_{x,1} - dz$ vanishes at point x, and $e_{x,1}$ is orthogonal to the subspace of V' generated by the elements that vanish at point x with order ≥ 2 under the Hermitian metric h'.
3. $e_{x,i}$ vanishes at point x with order ≥ 2 for all $2 \leq i \leq n_3$.

Let $\{u_{x,0}, \cdots, u_{x,n_3}\}$ be the set of analytic functions on $U(r_0)$, such that

$$\sum_{i \in I_1} u'_i \otimes e'_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n_3} u_{x,i} \otimes e_{x,i} \tag{80}$$

Let

$$G_{1,x} = \sum_{j \in I_1} \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \frac{v_1^{-l}}{v_0^{-l}}(x) \cdot u'_j(x) \cdot \left(\frac{v_1^l}{v_0^l} \cdot e'_j \right) \tag{81}$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_3} u_{x,i} \cdot e_{x,i} = 0 \tag{82}$$

and $e_{x,i}(x) = 0$ for $i > 0$, so

$$u_{x,0}(x) = 0 \tag{83}$$

By (83), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,x} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{m,l} \cdot \frac{v_1^{-l}}{v_0^{-l}}(x) \cdot u_{x,i}(x) \cdot \frac{v_1^l}{v_0^l} \cdot e_{x,i} \\ &= f_{4,x}\left(v_0, v_1, m\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} u_{x,i}(x) \cdot e_{x,i} \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_3} u_{x,i} \cdot de_{x,i} = \omega' \tag{85}$$

and $e_{x,i}$ vanishes at x with order ≥ 2 for $i > 1$, so we have

$$u_{x,1}(x) = \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \tag{86}$$

Since $B' \cdot \left|\frac{\omega'}{dz}\right|$ is greater than $|u_i'|, |e_i'|, \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{v_1}{v_0}\right|, \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z} e_i'\right|$ on $U(r_0)$, so by **Lemma 2.1**, we have

$$\left|\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z} G_{1,x}\right| < \rho_2^m \cdot \left|\frac{\omega'}{dz}(x)\right| \cdot |z| \tag{87}$$

on $U(r_0) \cap U_{x_j}(r_1)$ when x is a point in $U(r_0) \setminus U_{x_j}(r_1)$, where $\rho_2 \in (0, \rho_1)$ and m is greater than a number determined by n_1, ω', ρ_2, B'. By **Lemma 2.1**, and $e_{x,i}$ vanishes at x with order ≥ 2 for $i > 1$, and $e_{x,1}$ vanishes at x, and

$$\frac{\partial e_{x,1}}{\partial z}(x) = 1 \tag{88}$$

we have

$$\left|\frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z} G_{1,x}(x') - z(x') \cdot \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x)\right| \leq a_5' m \cdot |z(x')|^2 \cdot \left|\frac{\omega'}{dz}(x)\right| \tag{89}$$

when $x, x' \in U(r_0) \cap U_{x_j}(r_1)$ satisfying $|z(x) - z(x')| < \frac{1}{3m}$, where a_5' is a positive number determined by n_1, ω', B', ρ_2. Therefore we have

$$\left| G_x(x') - \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \cdot z(x') \right| < \left(a_5 m \cdot |z(x')|^2 + n_1 \cdot \rho_2^m \cdot |z(x')| \right) \cdot \left| \frac{\omega'}{dz}(x) \right| \quad (90)$$

for all $x' \in U_0$ satisfying $|z(x) - z(x')| < \frac{1}{3m}$, where $a_5, a_6 > 0$ are determined by n_1, ω', ρ_2, B'. So our Lemma is true. $\square$

Now we want to prove the function G_2 on arithmetic surface X constructed in the introduction have the properties that imply **Theorem 1.1**.

Lemma 2.3 *For complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let Γ_σ be the path determined by $\left| \frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}} \right| = 1$ on X_σ. Then there exists an element in R that is equal to*

$$\frac{(2m)!}{m! \cdot m!} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\sigma} \omega \cdot G_2 \quad (91)$$

over complex embedding σ for all $\sigma \in S$.

Proof. This Lemma is proved in the introduction. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 *Assume*

$$\frac{\omega}{dz}(x_{j,\sigma}) = \beta_{j,\sigma} \quad (92)$$

for $j \in I_{2,\sigma}$, where $\beta_{j,\sigma} \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume dz is not equal to zero at any point in the open set $U(r_0)$, where $U(r_0)$ is the subset of X_σ determined by $|z| < r_0$, and r_0 is a positive number. Assume $B \cdot \left| \frac{\omega}{dz} \right|$ is greater than $|u_j|$, $|e_j|$, $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} e_j \right|$ and $\left| \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} \right|$ on $U(r_0)$ for all $j \in I_1$, where $B > 1$.

Then there exists $\rho_2 \in (0, \rho_1)$, and $a_{10}, a_{11} > 1$ determined by $\rho_2, B, n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\sigma} \omega \cdot G_2 - \sum_{j \in I_{2,\sigma}} \frac{\beta_{j,\sigma}^2}{\|\xi_1\|^2} \right| < \left(a_{10} m \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-3} + \rho_2^m \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-2} \right) \cdot \|\omega\|^2 \quad (93)$$

for all $m > a_{11}$, when $\|\xi_1\| > a_{10} m$.

Proof. Assume $\|\xi_1\|$ is sufficiently large. For $j \in I_{2,\sigma}$, let $U_{j,\sigma}$ be the open set defined in property (ii) in the introduction. Let $\Gamma_{\sigma,j}$ be the path on $U_{j,\sigma}$ determined by $\left|\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}}\right| = 1$. Let x be a point on $\Gamma_{\sigma,j}$. Then there exists $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, such that

$$\frac{\xi_1}{\eta^{n_1}}(x) = e^{i\theta} \tag{94}$$

And we have

$$\left|\tau(x) - \varrho_{i_j}\right| < a_{12} \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-1} \tag{95}$$

where a_{12} is a positive number determined by $B, n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let

$$G_x(s_\omega, \tau, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \sum_{i \in I_1} b_{m,l} \cdot \tau^{-l}(x) \cdot \frac{u_i}{\eta^{m_2}}(x) \cdot \frac{z \cdot \partial}{\partial z} \mathrm{Trace}_{\varphi_2}\left(\tau^l \cdot \frac{e_i}{\eta^{m_3}}\right) \tag{96}$$

By (95) and **Theorem 2.2** and $\|\xi_1\|$ is large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G_2(x) = \psi^*(G_x)(x) = \\ &\quad \psi^*\left(\frac{\omega}{dz}(x) \cdot z\right)(x) + O\left(\|\xi_1\|^{-2} \cdot \|\omega\|\right) + O\left(\rho_2^m \cdot \left|\frac{\omega}{dz}(x)\right| \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where $\rho_2 \in (0, \rho_1)$. Note

$$\psi^*\left(\frac{\omega}{dz}(x) \cdot z\right)(x) = \frac{\frac{\omega}{dz}(x) \cdot z(x)^{-1}}{\|\xi_1\|^2} = \frac{\beta_{j,\sigma} \cdot e^{-i\theta}}{\|\xi_1\|} \cdot (1 + O(\|\xi_1\|^{-1})) \tag{98}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_{\sigma,j}} \omega \cdot G_2 = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_{\sigma,j}} \beta_{j,\sigma} \cdot dz \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_{j,\sigma} \cdot e^{-i\theta}}{\|\xi_1\|} + O(\|\xi_1\|^{-2} \cdot \|\omega\|) + O(\rho_2^m \cdot |\beta_{j,\sigma}| \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-1})\right) \\ = &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \beta_{j,\sigma} \cdot \frac{de^{i\theta}}{\|\xi_1\|} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_{j,\sigma} \cdot e^{-i\theta}}{\|\xi_1\|} + O(\|\xi_1\|^{-2} \cdot \|\omega\|) + O(\rho_2^m \cdot |\beta_{j,\sigma}| \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-1})\right) \\ = &\quad \frac{\beta_{j,\sigma}^2}{\|\xi_1\|^2} + O\left(\|\xi_1\|^{-3} \cdot \|\omega\|^2\right) + O(\rho_2^m \cdot |\beta_{j,\sigma}|^2 \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-2}) \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

By (99) and $\|\omega\| \geq O(|\beta_{j,\sigma}|)$, we see our Lemma is true. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (37), there exists $a_8 > 0$, such that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in I_{2,\sigma}} \frac{\beta_{j,\sigma}^2}{\|\xi_1\|^2} \right| > 2 \cdot \left(a_{10} m \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-3} + \rho_2^m \cdot \|\xi_1\|^{-2} \right) \cdot \|\omega\|^2 \tag{100}$$

where $\|\xi_1\| > a_8$. By (100) and **Lemma 2.4**, we have

$$2 \left| \sum_{j \in I_{2,\sigma}} \frac{\beta_{j,\sigma}^2}{\|\xi_1\|^2} \right| > \left| \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\sigma} \omega \cdot G_2 \right| > \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j \in I_{2,\sigma}} \frac{\beta_{j,\sigma}^2}{\|\xi_1\|^2} \right| \tag{101}$$

Then by **Lemma 2.3** and the right hand side of (101), we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S} \log \left| \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\sigma} \omega \cdot G_2 \right| \geq [F : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \log \left(\frac{m! \cdot m!}{(2m)!} \right) \tag{102}$$

By (102) and the left hand side of (101), we have

$$\frac{2 \sum_{\sigma \in S} \left| \sum_{i \in I_{2,\sigma}} \beta_{i,\sigma}^2 \right|}{[F : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \|\xi_1\|^2} > \frac{m! \cdot m!}{(2m)!} \tag{103}$$

Note

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2m} \frac{(2m)!}{j! \cdot (2m-j)!} = 2^{2m} \tag{104}$$

So we have

$$\frac{(2m)!}{m! \cdot m!} < 2^{2m} \tag{105}$$

Let m be the minimal positive integer greater than a_6. Then m is determined by $B, n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$. So by (103) (105), there exists a_7, a_8 determined by $a_9, B, n_1, X_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that (38) is true. $\square$

References

[L1] S.Lang: *Introduction to Arakelov Theory,* Springer-Verlag (1988).